

**GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION
(PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH)
OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054**

No. F.DE.15 (343)/PSB/2023/ 3494 - 3498

Dated: 21/04/23

Order

WHEREAS, St. Mary Secondary School (School ID - 1002321), Pocket A-2, Mayur Vihar, Phase-III, Delhi-110096 (hereinafter referred to as "the School"), run by the Delhi Catholic Archdiocese (hereinafter referred to as "Society"), is a private unaided school recognized by the Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "DoE"), under the provisions of Delhi School Education Act & Rules, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "DSEAR, 1973"). The school is statutorily bound to comply with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973 and RTE Act, 2009, as well as the directions/guidelines issued by the DoE from time to time.

WHEREAS every school is required to file a full statement of fees every year before the ensuing academic session under section 17(3) of the Delhi School Education Act, 1973 (hereinafter read as '*the Act*') with the Director. Such statement will indicate estimated income of the school derived from fees, estimated current operational expenses towards salaries and allowances payable to employees etc in terms of Rule 177(1) of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973 (hereinafter read as '*the Rules*').

AND WHEREAS, as per section 18(5) of the Act read with section 17(3), 24 (1) of the Act and Rule 180 (3) of the DSEA & R, 1973, responsibility has been conferred upon the Director (Education) to examine the audited financial, account and other records maintained by the school at least once in each financial year. The Section 18(5) and Section 24(1) of the Act and Rule 180 (3) have been reproduced as under:

Section 18(5): '*the managing committee of every recognised private school shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed*'

Section 24(1): '*every recognised school shall be inspected at least once in each financial year in such manner as may be prescribed*'

Rule 180 (3): '*the account and other records maintained by an unaided private school shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorised by the Director in this behalf and also by officers authorised by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India.*'

Thus, the Director (Education) has the authority to examine the full statement of fees filled under section 17(3) of the DSEA, 1973 and returns and documents submitted under section 18(5) of DSEA, 1973 read with rule 180 (1) of DSER, 1973

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Director (Education) is also required to examine and evaluate the fee hike proposal submitted by the private unaided recognized schools which have been allotted land by the DDA/ other land-owning agencies with the condition in their allotment to seek prior approval from Director (Education) before any increase in fee.

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 passed in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others has conclusively decided that under section 17(3), 18(4) read along with rule 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the Rules, Directorate of Education has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges to prevent the profiteering and commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS, it was also directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court to the Director of Education in the aforesaid matter titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others in Para 27 and 28 in case of Private unaided Schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates that:

"27 (c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the schools have been complied with..."

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the schools.....

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its judgement dated 19.01.2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus Govt. of NCT of Delhi and others has reiterated the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has directed the Director of Education to ensure the compliance of term, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by all the recognized unaided schools which are allotted land by DDA/ land owing agencies.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the DoE vide Order No. F.DE-15(40)/PSB/2019/4440-4412 dated 08.06.2022, directed all the private unaided recognized schools, running on the land allotted by DDA/other land-owning agencies at concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of DoE for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for prior sanction, for increase in fee for the academic session 2022-23

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to Order dated 08.06.2022 of the DoE, the School submitted its proposal for enhancement of fee for the academic session 2022-23. Accordingly, this Order dispenses the proposal for enhancement of fee submitted by school for the academic session 2022-23.

AND WHEREAS, in order to ensure that the proposals submitted by the schools for fee increase are justified or not, this Directorate has deployed teams of Chartered Accountants at HQ level who has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the school very carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973, the DSER, 1973 and other orders/ circulars issued from time to time by DoE.

AND WHEREAS, in the process of examination of the fee hike proposal filed by the aforesaid school, necessary records and explanations were also called from the school through email and the school was also provided an opportunity to be heard on 09.03.2023 to present its justifications/clarifications on the fee increase proposal. Based on the discussion with the school during a personal hearing, the school was further asked to submit the necessary documents and clarification on



various issues noted. In the aforesaid personal hearing, compliance of Order No. 15/(607)/PSB/2022/3665-3670 dated 26.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 were also discussed with the school and the school's submissions were taken on record

AND WHEREAS, on receipt of clarification as well as documents uploaded on the web portal for the fee hike post personal hearing, the fee hike proposal was evaluated by the team of Chartered Accountants and the key suggestions noted for improvement by the school are hereunder:

A. Financial Suggestion for Improvements

1. Para 7.14 of AS-15 "*Employee Benefit*" issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) states 'Plan Assets as:
 - a. assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
 - b. qualifying insurance policies."

Further, the para 57 of the AS-15 states "*an enterprise should determine the present value of defined benefit obligations and the fair value any plan assets with sufficient regularity that the amounts recognized in the financial statements do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the balance sheet date.*"

Review of the audited financial statements of FY 2021-22 revealed that the school has recorded total liability for retirement benefits of INR 5,97,14,922 for gratuity and leave encashment in accordance with the actuarial report obtained by the school.

However, the school hasn't invested any amount in plan asset till 31.03.2022. The same was discussed with the school during personal hearing and the school has accepted the fact and assured the department to invest in plan assets at the earliest. Thereafter, on 31.10.2022, the school has invested INR 2,00,00,000 towards Gratuity and INR 1,00,00,000 towards leave encashment with LIC and submitted the documentary proof of the same. Since the investment made by the school with LIC qualifies as plan assets within the meaning of AS-15 therefore, the amount invested by the school has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school with the direction to the school to record its retirement benefit obligation as per actuarial valuation report and deposit the remaining amount in plan assets within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

2. Clause No. 2 of Public Notice dated 04.05.1997 states "*It is the responsibility of the society who has established the school to raise such funds from their own sources or donations from the other associations because the immovable property of the school becomes the sole property of the society*". Additionally, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30.10.1998 titled Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded states "*the tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society.*" Also, Clause (vii) (c) of Order No. F.DE/15/Act/2K/243/KKK/ 883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states "*Capital expenditure cannot constitute a component of the financial fee structure.*"

As per Clause 14 of Order No. F.DE. /15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 and Clause 7 of Order No. DE 15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 stated "*Development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, up gradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment.*"

Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with income generated from the investment made out of this fund, will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account."

Also, Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Income derived by an unaided recognized school by way of fees shall be utilized in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that, savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilized by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognized school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run. The aforesaid savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school.
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature.
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation.
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students.
- e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings.

Therefore, based on the above-mentioned provisions, the cost relating to land and construction of the school building should be borne by the society running the school and school funds, i.e., fees collected from the students should not be used for the purchase of land and construction of the school building. In this regard, it is also important to mention that society was allotted an institutional land at very low cost compared to the price of commercial and as well as residential land of that nearby locality. The reason for allotment of land as such low cost was the society came up with the offer to do noble work in the field of education and run the school in Delhi on charity and on a "no profit and no loss" basis. In its offer the society also undertook to execute this work from its resources or by arranging funds through donations, subscriptions, or any other legal possible manner. Based on the noble grounds, the DoE had recommended to the land-owning agencies for allotment of land to society which would otherwise not be possible for the society to have such a prime land at this cost in such posh location.

Accordingly, if the DoE finds any deviation or non-compliance in any condition of land allotment letter, the society as well as the school are bound to comply and honour that immediately as per the direction of the DoE. Society cannot always claim the protection of Article 19(1)(g), 21 & 30 of the Constitution of India for non-interference by the DoE. Because the main source (i.e., land) which was required to establish and run the school was supported by DoE by recommending to land owning agency to allotment the land to the society. After considering the recommendation of the DoE, a clause was included in the land allotment letter of the school that the school shall not increase the fee without the prior sanction of the Director (Education) and shall follow the provisions of the Delhi School Education Act/Rules, 1973 and other instructions issued by the department from time to time.

A

The DoE in its Order No.DE 15/ (607)/PSB/2022/3665-3670 dated 26.05.2022 issued to the school post evaluation of fee hike proposal for academic session 2019-20, noted that during FY 2017-18, the school had incurred capital expenditure on purchase of land of INR 3,43,12,625 at Sohna Road, Gurugram to establish another school. Further, in FY 2018-19, the school had spent INR 41,80,059 and INR 33,92,441 on construction of school building. The aforesaid mentioned expenditure was incurred without complying with the provision of the Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 and clause 14 of the order dated 11.02.2009. Therefore, the school was directed to recover INR 4,18,85,125 from society which is still pending for recovery.

The documents submitted by the school post personal hearing were taken on record. The school mentioned that *"the amount of INR 90,00,000 out of INR 4,18,85,125 disallowed by the DoE has been recovered from the parent society/members and deposited in the school amount"* in FY 2021-22 and submitted the bank confirmation letter in support of this collection. However, in the audited financial statements the school has neither reported these receipts as capital contribution nor reported as grant receipts from society. The school instead of recording this as capital contribution and grant has recorded as current liability payable by the school. This indicates that the school has made a temporary arrangement with the intention withdraws the observation of DoE and pay back this society at latter stage as and when the funds will be available with the school. Therefore, this amount is still recoverable from the society.

Further, on review of the audited financial statements from FY 2021-22, it has been noted that the school has utilised INR 56,92,286 of its school fund towards capital expenditure on upgradation of infrastructure of the school without complying with above mentioned provisions.

Therefore, the total amount of INR 4,75,77,411 i.e., *(INR 4,18,85,125 plus INR 56,92,286)* utilised by the school towards purchase of land and upgradation of infrastructure of the school which was not in accordance with above mentioned provisions has been considered as funds available with the school with the direction to the school to recover this amount from society within 30 days from the date of issue of this order. Non-compliance with this directive would be taken seriously, and the department would take appropriate action against the school under Section 24(4) of the DSEA, 1973 without giving any further opportunity to the school.

3. Clause (vii) (c) of Order No. F.DE/15/Act/2K/243/KKK/ 883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states *"Capital expenditure cannot constitute a component of the financial fee structure."*

As per Section 18(4) of DSEA, 1973 states *"Income derived by unaided school by way of fees shall be utilized only for such educational purpose as may be prescribed"*.

And Rule 176 of the DSER, 1973 states *"Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose."*

On review of the audited financial statement for FY 2021-22, it has been noted that the school has incurred capital expenditure towards purchase of vehicle of INR 11,30,434 without complying with above mentioned provisions. Further, it is also pertinent to mentioned here that the school instead of investing school fund in plan assets to secure its retirement benefit obligation, purchase vehicle's and claims deficit of funds to take fee hike from the department.



Therefore, the amount of INR 11,30,434 spent by the school on purchase of vehicle in contravention of above-mentioned provisions has been considered as fund available with the school while deriving the fund position with the direction to the school to recover this amount from the society within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

4. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.01.2016 in WPC no 4109/2013 in the matter of *Justice for All vs. GNCT of Delhi and others* indicated that every recognized private unaided school to whom land was allotted by DDA shall not increase the rate of fees without the prior sanction of Directorate of Education. Accordingly, the Directorate vide order No. F.DE.15(40)/PSB/2019/2698-2707 dated 27.03.2019, directed that all the Private Unaided Recognized Schools running on the land allotted by DDA/other Govt. agencies on concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of Director of Education for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for approval from the Director of Education for the academic session 2018-19 and 2019-20. Moreover, as per the directions of the Supreme Court in *Modern School vs. Union of India & ORs.* (supra), a Circular dated 16.04.2010 has been issued which is as under:

- a) It is reiterated that annual fee-hike is not mandatory.
- b) School shall not introduce any new head of account or collect any fee thereof other than those permitted. Fee/funds collected from the parents/students shall be utilized strictly in accordance with rules 176 and 177 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.
- c) If any school has collected fee in excess of that determined as per the procedure prescribed here-above, the school shall refund/adjust the same against subsequent instalments of fee payable by students.

The Directorate, in its Order No. F.DE. 15/ (607)/PSB/2022/3665-3670 dated 26.05.2022 issued to the school post evaluation of fee hike proposal for academic session 2019–20, noted that the school had increased their fee structure in academic session 2018–19 without taking prior approval from the DoE.

Further, the school was asked to submit excess fee collected in the last three financial years. But the school failed to provide these details. In the absence of the detailed information, the excess fee collected by the school in last three financial years based on the information available with the department, has been calculated below.

Class	Fee paying students as per the school submission.	Excess fee collected as per Fee hike order of FY 2019-20 (Monthly) (B)	Excess Amount (INR) (A*B*12Month*3Year)
KG to VIII	1,512	350	1,90,51,200
IX & X	279	353	35,45,532
XI & XII	282	480	48,72,960
		Total	2,74,69,692

In view of the above, the school is hereby directed to comply with the above-mentioned direction and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of the issue of this order. Non-compliance with the above direction shall be viewed seriously in accordance with the provisions

of Section 24(4) of the DSEA, 1973 while evaluating the fee hike proposal for the subsequent academic session.

B. Other Suggestion for Improvements

1. As per Clause 19 of Order No. F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 *“The tuition fee shall be so determined as to cover the standard cost of establishment including provisions for DA, bonus, etc., and all terminal, benefits as also the expenditure of revenue nature concerning the curricular activities.”*

Further clause 21 of the aforesaid order *“No annual charges shall be levied unless they are determined by the Managing Committee to cover all revenue expenditure, not included in the tuition fee and ‘overheads and expenses on play-grounds, sports equipment, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from the curricular activities of the school.”*

And as per clause 22 of Order No. F.DE. /15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 *“Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on ‘no-profit no loss’ basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged.”*

As per Rule 176 of the DSER, 1973 *“Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose.”*

Further, sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 provides *“Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned school and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2).”* And, Sub-rule 4 of the said rule states *“The collections referred to in sub-rule (3) shall be administered in the same manner as the monies standing to the credit of the Pupils Fund as administered.”*

However, as per audited financial statements of FY 2019-20, it has been noted that the school charges earmarked levies in the form of Computer fee and Science fees. However, the school has not maintained separate fund accounts for these earmarked levies and has been generating surplus from earmarked levies, which has been utilised for meeting other expenses of the school or has been incurring losses (deficit) which has been met from other fees/income.

The aforementioned Guidance Note also lays down the concept of fund-based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account (‘Restricted Funds’ column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted fund account to the credit of the Income and Expenditure Account (‘Restricted Funds’ column). However, the school has not been following fund-based accounting in accordance with the principles laid down by the aforesaid Guidance Note.

Based on the above provisions, the school is required to maintain a separate fund account depicting clearly the amount collected, amount utilised and balance amount for each earmarked levy collected from students. Unintentional surplus, if any, generated from earmarked levies has to be utilized or adjusted against earmarked fees collected from the users in the subsequent year. Further, the school should evaluate costs incurred against each earmarked levy and propose the revised fee

structure for earmarked levies during subsequent proposal for enhancement of fees, ensuring that the proposed levies are calculated on a no-profit no-loss basis and not to include fees collected from all students as earmarked levies. Accordingly, the school is directed to comply with the above-mentioned provisions.

2. From a review of documents submitted by the school post personal hearing, the following has been noted with respect to the Fixed Asset Register (FAR) maintained by the school:
 - No tagging of the assets has been done in Fixed Assets Register (FAR) and location is not identified due to which assets could not be physically verified.
 - Depreciation for the individual assets is not recorded in the FAR, only cost of the assets is available in the FAR and WDV of the assets is not available.
 - Invoice number, manufacturer's serial number and location of the asset is not mentioned in the fixed assets register.

Therefore, the School is hereby directed to prepare a FAR, which should include details such as asset description, purchase date, supplier name, invoice number, manufacturer's serial number, location, purchase cost, other costs incurred, depreciation, asset identification number, etc. to facilitate identification of asset and documenting complete details of assets at one place. The school is further directed to comply with the directions for preparing FAR with relevant details mentioned above according to the process for periodic physical verification of assets and documenting the results of physical verification of assets. The same shall be verified at the time of evaluation of the fee hike proposal for subsequent years. This being a procedural finding, no financial impact is warranted on the fund position of the school.

3. The school is not complying with the DoE Order No.F.DE.15/Act-I/08155/2013/5506-5518 dated 04.06.2012 as well as the conditions specified in the land allotment letter which require that the school should provide 25% reservation for children belonging to EWS/DG category. Therefore, the school is directed to ensure admission in accordance with the aforesaid order. Further, the school is also required to provide uniform and textbooks to the EWS/DG category students. However, from the audited financial statements, the expenditure incurred by the school towards uniform and textbooks cannot be determined. During personal hearing the school has explained that due to paucity of funds school has not given books and uniforms to the EWS students.

Therefore, the concerned Deputy Director Districted are requested to ensure compliance with this regard by the school. From the information provided by the school, the percentage of admission allowed to the school to EWS is provided below.

Particulars	FY 2022-23
Total Students	2,365
EWS Students	292
% of EWS students	12.35%

4. Clause 24 of DoE Order dated 11.02.2009 states "*Every recognized unaided school covered by the Act, shall maintain accounts on the principles applicable to a non-business organization/ not-for-profit organization as per Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Such schools shall*

prepare their financial statement consisting of a Balance Sheet, P&L Account and Receipt & Payment account every year.”

Further, Appendix-III (Part-I-General instructions and accounting principles) of Guidance Note-21 states:

1. “the financial statement of the Schools should be prepared on accrual basis.
2. a statement of all significant accounting policies adopted in the preparation and presentation of the balance sheet and income and expenditure account should be included in the School's Balance sheet.....
3. accounting policies should be applied consistently from one financial year to the next. Any change in the accounting policies which has a material effect in the current period, or which is reasonably expected to have a material effect in later periods should be disclosed....”.

Review of the audited financial statements of the school revealed that the school has been recording income on cash basis while expenses are being recorded on accrual basis. Thus, the school is not following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP). Therefore, the school is hereby directed, to maintain its books of account in accordance with GAAP from subsequent financial years and made necessary adjustment in its books of accounts accordingly. The compliance with this direction shall be verified while evaluating the fee increase proposal of the subsequent year

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the School, it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

- i. The total funds available for the FY 2022-23 amounting to **INR 18,30,66,639** out of which cash outflow for the FY 2022-23 is estimated to be **INR 19,41,19,087**. This results in deficit of **INR 1,10,52,448** after meeting all expenditures. The details are as follows:

Particulars	Amount (INR)
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.2022 as per Audited Financial Statements	80,24,328
Investments as on 31.03.2022 as per Audited Financial Statements	7,88,72,637
Liquid fund as on 31.03.2022	8,68,96,965
Add: Recovery from Society for purchase of land and construction of school building (Refer Financial Suggestion No. 2)	4,75,77,411
Add: Recovery from Society for purchase of vehicle (Refer Financial Suggestion No. 3)	11,30,434
Less: Excess fee collected by the school without taking approval from DoE (Refer Financial Suggestion No. 4)	2,74,69,692
Add: Fees for FY 2021-22 as per Audited Financial Statements (Refer Note No. 1 Below)	12,45,99,961
Add: Other income for FY 2021-22 as per audited Financial Statements (Refer Note No. 1 Below)	83,35,801
Add: Annual Charges collected during FY 2019-20 (Refer Note No. 2 Below)	1,27,56,671
Less: Income of previous year received in current year	39,89,289
Total available funds for FY 2022-23	24,98,38,262
Less: FDR on joint name with DOE	11,13,351
Less: Development Fund as on 31.03.2022	3,56,58,272

Particulars	Amount (INR)
Less: Depreciation reserve fund (Refer Note No. 3 Below)	-
Less: Investment made with LIC against provision made for retirement benefits (Refer Financial Suggestion No. 1)	3,00,00,000
Estimated Available Funds for FY 2022-23	18,30,66,639
Less: Budgeted Expenditure as provided by the school (Refer Note No. 4 Below)	18,49,18,352
Less: Arrears of 7th CPC (Refer Note No. 5 Below)	92,00,735
Estimated Deficit	1,10,52,448

Note 1: Fees and other income as mentioned in Audited Financial Statements for FY 2021-22 has been considered while deriving the fund position assuming that this is the minimum income accrued by the School during FY 2022-23.

Note 2: Annual charges was not collected by the school during FY 2021-22 as per audited financial statements. Therefore, the annual charges collected by the School during FY 2019-20 has been considered assuming that this is the minimum income accrued during FY 2022-23.

Note 3: As per the Duggal Committee report, there are four categories of fees that can be charged by a private unaided School. The first category of fee comprised of "Registration fee and all one Time Charges" levied at the time of admissions such as admission and caution money. The second category of fee comprises 'Tuition Fee' which is to be fixed to cover the standard cost of the establishment and to cover the expenditure of revenue nature for the improvement of curricular facilities like library, laboratories, science, and computer fee up to class X and examination fee. The third category of the fee should consist of 'Annual Charges' to cover all expenditure not included in the second category and the fourth category consist of all 'Earmarked Levies' for the services rendered by the school and be recovered only from the 'User' students. These charges are transport fee, swimming pool charges, Horse riding, tennis, midday meals etc. This recommendation has been considered by the Directorate while issuing order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and order No. F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009.

The purpose of each head of the fee has been defined and it is nowhere defined the usage of development fee or any other head of fee for investments against depreciation reserve fund.

Further, Clause 7 of order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and clause 14 of the order no F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, "development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with and income generated from the investment made out of this fund will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account". Thus, the above direction provides for:

- Not to charge development fee for more than 15% of tuition fee.

- Development fee will be used for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.
- Development fee will be treated as capital receipts.
- Depreciation reserve fund is to be maintained.

Thus, the creation of the depreciation reserve fund is a pre-condition for charging of development fee, as per above provisions and the decision of Hon'ble Supreme court in the case of Modern School Vs Union of India & Ors.: 2004(5) SCC 583. Even the Clause 7 of the above direction does not require to maintain any investments against depreciation reserve fund. Also, as per para 99 of Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by School' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure, upon incurrence of the expenditure, the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter, the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income, to the extent of the cost of the asset, and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year."

Accordingly, the depreciation reserve (that is to be created equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue account) is mere of an accounting head for the appropriate accounting treatment of depreciation in the books of account of the school in accordance with Guidance Note -21 issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. Thus, there is no financial impact of depreciation reserve on the fund position of the School. Accordingly, the depreciation reserve fund has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the School.

Note 4: While evaluating the fee hike proposal, department considers that how much liquid funds would require the school for a particular session for smooth operation without compromising with the quality of education. Thus, while deriving the fund position of the school all legitimate expenditures revenue as well as capital in accordance with the provisions DESAR, 1973 and pronouncement of Courts judgment have been considered. Therefore, balance of the other current assets other and current liabilities has not been considered. Because it is clear that the current assets, loans and advances and current liabilities are cyclic in nature and the same have already been considered in the form of budgeted income and expenditure of the school in the earlier years. Thus, current assets, loans and advances and current liabilities will always reflect in the financial statements at the end of the financial year.

Note 5: Salary arrears of INR 92,00,735 for FY 2020-21 and 2021-22 as submitted by the school has been considered while deriving the fund position.

- ii. In view of the above examination, it is evident that the school does not has adequate funds for meeting all the operational expenditures for the FY 2022-23. In this regard, the directions issued by the Directorate of Education vide circular no. 1978 dated 16.04.2010 states that,

"All Schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."



AND WHEREAS, in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants along with certain financial suggestions that were identified (appropriate financial impact has been taken on the fund position of the school) and certain procedural suggestions which were also noted (appropriate instructions against which have been given in this order), that the sufficient funds are not available with the School to carry out its operations for the academic session 2022-23. Accordingly, the fee increase proposal of the school may be accepted.

AND WHEREAS, it is noticed that the school has incurred INR 4,87,07,845 in contravention to the provisions of DSEAR, 1973 and other orders issued by the departments from time to time. Therefore, the school is directed to recover the aforesaid amount from society/ management. The receipts along with copy of bank statements showing receipt of the above-mentioned amount should be submitted with DoE, in compliance of the same, within 30 days from the date of issue of this order. Non-compliance with this direction shall be viewed seriously as per the provision of DSEAR, 1973 without providing any further opportunity of being heard.

AND WHEREAS, considering the financial situation and existing deficiencies and keeping in view that salary and other employee's benefits can be paid to the teachers and staff smoothly, the fee hike is allowed to the school with the suggestions for improvement. The school is hereby further directed that the additional income received on account of increase fee should be utilized at first instance only for payment of salary and salary arrears and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

AND WHEREAS, it is relevant to mention charging of any arrears on account of fee for several months from the parents is not advisable, not only because of the additional sudden burden fall upon the parents/students but also as per the past experience, the benefit of such collected arrears is not passed to the teachers and staff in most of the cases as was observed by the Justice Anil Dev Singh Committee (JADSC) during the implementation of the 6th CPC. Keeping this in view, and exercising the powers conferred under Rule 43 of DSER, 1973, the Director (Education) has accepted the proposal submitted by the school and allowed an increase in fee by 10% to be effective from 01 October 2022.

AND WHEREAS, recommendation of the team of Chartered Accountants along with relevant materials were put before the Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, and after considering the provisions of section 17 (3), 18(5), 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 read with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the DSER, 1973 has found that funds are not available with the school for meeting financial implication for the academic session 2022-23. Hence, for smooth payment of salaries and other employee's benefit, the fee hike is required to the School.

AND WHEREAS, the school is directed, henceforth to take necessary corrective steps on the financial and other suggestion noted during the above evaluation process and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order to the D.D.E (PSB)

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal for fee hike of **St. Mary Secondary School (School ID - 1002321), Pocket A-2, Mayur Vihar, Phase-III, Delhi-110096** filled by the school in response to the Order No. F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2019/4440-4412 dated 08.06.2022 for the academic session 2022-23, is accepted by the Director (Education) with the above conclusion and suggestions and the school is hereby allowed to increase the fee by 10% to be effective from 1 April, 2023.

Further, the management of said School is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:

1. To increase the fee only by the prescribed percentage from the specified date.
2. To ensure payment of salary is made in accordance with the provision of Section 10(1) of the DSEA, 1973. Further, the scarcity of funds cannot be the reason for non-payment of salary and other benefits admissible to the teachers/ staffs in accordance with section 10 (1) of the DSEA, 1973. Therefore, the Society running the school must ensure payment to teachers/ staffs accordingly.
3. To utilize the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.

Non-compliance of this order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 24(4) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.

This is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

Nandini
(Nandini Maharaj)
Additional Director of Education
(Private School Branch)
Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

To
The Manager/ HoS
St. Mary Secondary School (School ID - 1002321),
Pocket A-2, Mayur Vihar, Phase-III, New Delhi-110096

No. F.DE.15 (1343)/PSB/2023 / 3494 - 3498

Dated: 21/04/23

Copy to:

1. P.S. to Principal Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
3. DDE (East) ensure the compliance of the above order by the school management.
4. DE's nominee concerned
5. In-charge (I.T Cell) with the request to upload on the website of this Directorate.
6. Guard file.

Nandini
(Nandini Maharaj)
Additional Director of Education
(Private School Branch)
Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi