GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054

No. F.DE.15 (||5|)/PSB/2022/622-626

Dated: 20/01/23

<u>Order</u>

WHEREAS, Fahan International School (School ID- 1104402), between Block B-2 & B-3, Yamuna Vihar, New Delhi-110053 (hereinafter referred to as "the School"), run by the Yamuna Vihar Education Society (hereinafter referred to as "Society"), is a private unaided school recognized by the Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "DoE"), under the provisions of Delhi School Education Act & Rules, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "DSEAR, 1973"). The school is statutorily bound to comply with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973 and RTE Act, 2009, as well as the directions/guidelines issued by the DoE from time to time.

AND WHEREAS, the manager of every recognized school is required to file a full statement of fees every year for the ensuing academic session under section 17(3) of the DSEAR, 1973 with the Directorate. Such a statement is required to indicate the estimated income of the school to be derived from fees, estimated current operational expenses towards salaries and allowances payable to employees etc. in terms of rule 177 (1) of the DSEAR, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, as per section 18(5) of the DSEAR, 1973 read with sections 17(3), 24 (1) and Rule 180 (3) of the above DSEAR, 1973, responsibility has been conferred upon the DoE to examine the audited financial statements, books of accounts and other records maintained by the school at least once in each financial year. Sections 18(5) and 24(1) and rule 180 (3) of DSEAR, 1973 have been reproduced as under:

Section 18(5): 'the managing committee of every recognized private school shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed'

Section 24(1): 'every recognized school shall be inspected at least once in each financial year in such manner as may be prescribed'

Rule 180 (3): 'the account and other records maintained by an unaided private school shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorized by the Director in this behalf and also by officers authorized by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India'.

Thus, the Director (Education) has the authority to examine the full statement of fees filled under section 17(3) of the DSEA, 1973 and returns and documents submitted under section 18(5) of DSEA, 1973 read with rule 180 (1) of DSER, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Director (Education) is also required to examine and evaluate the fee hike proposal submitted by the private unaided recognized schools which have been allotted land by the DDA/ other land-owning agencies with the condition in their allotment to seek prior approval from Director (Education) before any increase in fee.

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 held in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others has conclusively decided that under sections 17(3), 18(4) read along with rules 172, 173, 175 and 177, the DoE has the authority



to regulate the fees and other charges, with the objective of preventing profiteering and commercialization of education.

AND WHEREAS, it was also directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, that the DoE in the aforesaid matter titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and Others in paras 27 and 28 that in the case of private unaided schools situated on the land allotted by DDA/other land-owning agencies at concessional rates:

"27 (c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the schools have been complied with...

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the schools......

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its judgement dated 19.01.2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus Govt. of NCT of Delhi and Others, has reiterated the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has directed the DoE to ensure compliance of terms, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by recognized unaided schools to whom land has been allotted by DDA/ other land-owning agencies.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the DoE vide Order No. F.DE-15(40)/PSB/2019/4440-4412 dated 08.06.2022, directed all the private unaided recognized schools, running on the land allotted by DDA/other land-owning agencies at concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of DoE for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for prior sanction, for increase in fee for the academic session 2022-23.

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to Order dated 08.06.2022 of the DoE, the School submitted its proposal for enhancement of fee for the academic session 2022-23. Accordingly, this Order dispenses the proposal for enhancement of fee submitted by school for the academic session 2022-23.

AND WHEREAS, in order to examine the proposals submitted by the schools for fee increase for justifiability or not, the DoE has deployed teams of Chartered Accountants at HQ level who has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the school carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973, and other Orders/ Circulars issued from time to time by the DoE.

AND WHEREAS, in the process of examination of the fee hike proposal filed by the aforesaid school, necessary records and explanations were also called from the school through emails dated 14.09.2022, 26.09.2022 and 14.10.2022. The school was also provided an opportunity of being heard on 28.10.2022 to present its justifications/clarifications on the fee increase proposal. Based on the discussion with the school during personal hearing, the school was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarification on various issues noted.

AND WHEREAS, on receipt of clarification as well as documents uploaded on the web portal for the fee hike post personal hearing, the fee hike proposal was evaluated by the team of Chartered Accountants and the key suggestions noted for improvement by the school are hereunder:



A. Financial Suggestion for Improvements

- 1. Para 7.14 of AS-15 "Employee Benefit" issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India (ICAI) states 'Plan Assets as:
 - a. assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
 - b. qualifying insurance policies."

Further, the para 57 of the AS-15 states "an enterprise should determine the present value of defined benefit obligations and the fair value any plan assts with sufficient regularity that the amounts recognised in the financial statements do not differ materially from the amounts that would be determined at the balance sheet date."

And Para 60 of Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by Schools' (2005) issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "A defined benefit scheme is a scheme under which amounts to be paid as retirement benefits are determined usually by reference to employee's earnings and/or years of service".

An appropriate charge to the income and expenditure account for a year should be made through a provision for accruing liability. The accruing liability should be calculated according to actuarial valuation. However, if the school employs only a few persons say less than 50, it may calculate the accrued liability by reference to any other rational method. The ensuing amount of provision for liability should then be invested in "Plan Assets" as per AS-15 issued by ICAI.

On review of the audited financial statement for the FY 2021-22, it has been noted that the school has not created any provision for retirement benefits. The retirement benefits are the statutory liability which the school is required to pay to their eligible employees on their retirement/resignation, as the case may be. Therefore, the school is hereby directed to create provision for retirement benefits in its books of accounts as per actuarial valuation report or any other rational method as applicable to the school and make an equivalent investment in plan assets within the meaning of AS-15 issued by ICAI and report the same in its audited financial statement. The compliance of the same will be reviewed at the time of evaluation of subsequent fee hike proposal.

B. Other Suggestion for Improvements

1. As per Clause 19 of Order No. F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 "The tuition fee shall be so determined as to cover the standard cost of establishment including provisions for DA, bonus, etc., and all terminal, benefits as also the expenditure of revenue nature concerning the curricular activities."

Further clause 21 of the aforesaid order "No annual charges shall be levied unless they are determined by the Managing Committee to cover all revenue expenditure, not included in the tuition fee and 'overheads and expenses on play-grounds, sports equipment, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from the curricular activities of the school."

And as per clause 22 of Order No. F.DE. /15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 "Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on 'no-profit no loss' basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged."



As per Rule 176 of the DSER, 1973 "Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose."

Further, sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 provides "Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned school and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2)."

Also, earmarked levies collected from students are form of restricted funds, which, according to Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by Schools' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, are required to be credited to a separate fund account when the amount is received and reflected separately in the Balance Sheet.

Further, the Guidance Note-21 lays down the concept of fund-based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted fund account to the credit of the Income and Expenditure Account.

From the information provided by the school post personal hearing, it has been noted that school charges earmarked levies in the form of Transport fees from the user students but has not maintained fund-based accounting. The surplus/deficit generated by the school from this earmarked levy in last three financial years is as under:

(Amount in INR)

Particulars	Transport Fees	
For the year 2019-20	8	
Fee Collected during the year (A)	4,30,100	
Expenses during the year (B)	4,27,275	
Difference for the year (A-B)	2,825	
For the year 2020-21		
Fee Collected during the year (A)	-	
Expenses during the year (B)		
Difference for the year (A-B)		
For the year 2021-22		
Fee Collected during the year (A)		
Expenses during the year (B)		
Difference for the year (A-B)		
Total (Surplus)	2,825	

^{*}The school has not collected tuition fees in FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22

The school is also directed to maintain separate fund account depicting clearly the amount collected, amount utilised and balance amount for each earmarked levy collected from students. Unintentional surplus/deficit, if any, generated from earmarked levies must be utilized or adjusted against earmarked fees collected from the users in the subsequent year. Further, the school should evaluate costs incurred against each earmarked levy and propose the revised fee structure for earmarked levies in the subsequent proposal of fee increase by ensuring that the



proposed levies are calculated on no-profit no-loss basis and not to include fee collected from all students as earmarked levies.

The act of the school of charging unwarranted fee or any other amount/fee under head other than the prescribed head of fee and accumulation of surplus fund thereof tantamount to profiteering and commercialization of education as well as charging of capitation fee in other form..

2. The school has prepared a Fixed Assets Register (FAR) that only captures date, asset name, supplier name, bill number, quantity, amount and depreciation. The FAR should also include details such as manufacturer's serial number, location, asset identification number, etc. to facilitate identification of asset and document complete details of assets at one place.

Accordingly, the school is directed to prepare/update the fixed assets register by capturing all the details mentioned above and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

3. As per Order No. F.DE-15/ACT-I/WPC-4109/Part/13/7905-7913 dated 16.04.2016 "The Director hereby specify that the format of return and documents to be submitted by schools under rule 180 read with Appendix-II of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973 shall be as per format specified by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, established under Chartered Accountants Act, 1949 (38 of 1949) in Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools (2005) or as amended from time to time by this Institute."

As per para 67 of the Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, "The financial statements should disclose, inter alia, the historical cost of fixed assets".

On review of audited financial statements for the FY 2019-20 to FY 2021-22, it has been noted that the fixed assets are reported at written down value method and depreciation on fixed assets have been provided at the rates prescribed in the Income Tax Rules, 1962. Therefore, the school is directed to report historical cost of assets and provide depreciation on assets in accordance with the guidance note cited above.

4. Section 13 (1) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states that "no school or person shall, while admitting a child, collect any capitation fee and subject the child or his or her parents or guardian to any screening procedure".

Section 13 (2) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states that "Any school or person, if in contravention of the provisions of sub-section (1),-

a. receives capitation fee, shall be punishable with fine which may be extended to ten times the capitation fee charged.

b. subjects a child to screening procedures shall be punishable with a fine which may extend to twenty-five thousand rupees for the first contravention and fifty thousand rupees for each subsequent contravention.

And section 2(b) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states "capitation fee" means any kind of donation or contribution or payment other than the fee notified by the school.



Further, the Supreme Court in its Judgement dated 02 May 2016 in the matter of Modern 'Dental College and Research Centre Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh [Medical Council of India]' held that education is a noble profession and emphasized that:

"Every demand of capitation fee by educational institutions is unethical & illegal. It emphasized that commercialization and exploitation are not permissible in the education sector and institutions must run on a 'no-profit-no-loss' basis'.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court categorically held that "though education is now treated as an 'occupation' and, thus, has become a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 19(1) (g) of the Constitution, at the same time shackles are put in so far as this particular occupation is concerned, which is termed as noble. Therefore, profiteering and commercialization are not permitted, and no capitation fee can be charged. The admission of students has to be on merit and not at the whims and fancies of the educational institutions,"

Further, the Hon'ble High Court in LPA 196/2004 in the matter of 'Rakesh Goyal Vs. Montfort School and Section 13(1) of RTE Act, 2009' states "no school or person shall, while admitting a child, collect any Capitation fee/Donation from the parents. Any school or person who contravenes this provision and receives a capitation fee, shall be punishable with a fine which may extend to ten times the capitation fee charged".

Further, The Directorate of Education, vide Order No. DE15/ Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and Order No.F.DE. /15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, indicated the following types of fee that a recognised private unaided school can collect from the students/parents:

- a. Registration Fee: Registration fee INR 25 per student prior to admission, shall be charged.
- b. Admission Fee: No admission fee of more than 200/- per student, at the time of the admission shall be charged. The admission fee shall not be charged again from any student who is once given admission as long as he remains on the rolls of the school. Further, Clause 4 of the Public notice dated 04.05.1997 states "admission fee can be charged only at the nominal rate but not exceeding INR 200 in any case. It should not be made a regular practice. Once a student is admitted in the school, he should not be asked to pay admission fee again at middle or secondary or senior secondary stage".
- c. Caution Money: No Caution Money/ Security Deposit of more than INR 500 per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a Scheduled Bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether he/she requests for a refund. Thus, it is not an income of the school, but a deposit/ liability which is to be refunded at the time of students leaving the school.
- d. **Tuition Fee:** It is required to be determined so as to cover the standard cost of the establishment including provisions for DA, bonus etc. and all terminal benefits, as also the expenditure of revenue nature concerning curricular activities. No fee shall be charged in excess of the amount so determined.



- e. Annual Charges: Annual charges are expected to cover all revenue expenditure not included in tuition fee and overhead and expenditure on playgrounds, sports equipment, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from curricular activities of the school.
- f. Earmarked Levies: Earmarked levies are required to be charged from the user students only. Earmarked levies for the services rendered are to be charged on no profit no loss basis in respect of facilities provided to the user students involving additional expenditure in the provision of the same.
- g. Development Fee: It is to be treated as capital receipts and utilized towards purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment.

Based on the provisions mentioned above, charging of "Activity fee" from the students at the time of admission is nothing but is in the nature of capitation fee only. Additionally, not only the charging of one-time fee at the time of admission is tantamount to capitation fee but also charging unwarranted fee under different heads or introduction of any new head in the fee structure other than the prescribed heads of fee and accumulation of surplus funds out of it is prima-facie considered to be a collection of capitation fee in other manner and form.

Accordingly, the collection of one-time fees from the students at the time of admission indicates that the school is engaged in profiteering and commercialization of education.

As per Section 27 of the DSEA, 1973, the manager of the school is responsible for looking after the smooth operation of the school and ensuring compliance with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973, including the compliance of directions of the Hon'ble High Court and Supreme Court as well as the orders/circulars issued by the Directorate of Education from time to time in this regard. The manager and principal have been bestowed with the power to ensure the school's proper functioning, including ensuring the admission process transparently, jointly as well as in their personal capacity, be responsible for the levy and collection of capitation fees and any other unauthorised fees collected by the school.

Therefore, the school is directed not to charge capitation as mentioned above with immediate effect. The school is also directed to submit compliance with this direction within 30 days from the date of issue of this order. Noncompliance with this directive would be taken seriously, and the department would take appropriate action against the school under Section 24(4) of the DSEA, 1973 without giving any further opportunity to the school.

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the school, it was finally evaluated/concluded that:

i. The total funds available for the FY 2022-23 is INR 77,04,179 out of which the expected expenditures of the school would be INR 85,10,472 resulting in net deficit of INR 8,06,293 for the FY 2022-23. The detailed calculation is as under:

Particulars	Amount (INR)
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.2022 as per Audited Financial Statements	12,49,765
Investments as on 31.03.2022 as per Audited Financial Statements	2,80,275



Particulars	Amount (INR)	
Total Liquid Fund as on 31.03.2022	15,30,040	
Add: Income for FY 2021-22 as per Audited Financial statements (Refer Note 1 Below)	64,15,480	
Add: Other Income for FY 2021-22 as per Audited Financial Statements (Refer Note 2 Below)	38,934	
Total Fund available for FY 2022-23	79,84,454	
Less: FDR in Joint name of the Manager and DDE	2,80,275	
Estimated availability of funds for FY 2022-23 - (A)	77,04,179	
Less: Budgeted expenses for the session 2022-23 (after making adjustment) (Refer Note 3 Below)	52,41,687	
Less: 7th CPC arrears (Refer Note 4 Below)	32,68,785	
Estimated Budgeted Expenditure for FY 2022-23 - (B)	85,10,472	
Net Deficit (A-B)	8,06,293	

Note 1: The Department vide its Order No.F.No.PS/DE/2020/55 dated 18.04.2020 and Order No.F.No.PS/DE/2020/3224-3231 dated 28.08.2020 had issued guidelines regarding the chargeability of fees during the pandemic COVID 2019. The department in both the above-mentioned orders directed to the management of all the private schools not to collect any fee except the tuition fee irrespective of the fact whether running on the private land or government land allotted by DDA/other land-owning agencies and not to increase any fee in FY 2020-21 till further direction.

The department in pursuance of the order dated 31.05.2021 in WPC 7526/2020 of Single Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and interim order dated 07.06.2021 in LPA 184/2021 of the Division Bench of Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and to prevent the profiteering and commercialization, again directed to the management of all the petitioners private unaided recognized schools through its Order No. F. No. DE.15 (114) /PSB /2021 /2165-2174 dated 01.07.2021:

- (i) To collect annual school fee (only all permitted heads of fees) from their students as fixed under the DSEAR,1973 for the academic year 2020-21, but by providing deduction of 15% on that amount in lieu of <u>unutilized facilities</u> by the students during the relevant period of academic year 2020-21". And if the school has collected the fee in excess to the direction issued by the Hon'ble Court, the same shall be refunded to the parents or adjusted in the subsequent month of fee or refund to the parents.
- (ii) The amount so payable by the concerned students be paid in six equal monthly instalments w.e.f. 10.06.2021.
- (iii) The above arrangement will also be applicable with respect to collection of fees for academic session 2021-22.

On review of the audited financial statements of the FY 2021-22 and based on the further information provided by the school, it has been noted that the school has reported 100% of the tuition fees and 85% of the annual charges its audited financial statements of FY 2021-22 on receipt basis. Thus, the school is not maintaining its books and accounts in accordance with GAAP (Generally Accepted Accounting Principles). Therefore, the income collected by the school during



the FY 2021-22 with respect to annual charges has been grossed up on accrual basis to make comparative income with the FY 2022-23.

The detailed calculation has been provided below:

Particulars	Income as per AFS of FY 2021-22	Income Considered while deriving the fund position for the FY 2022-23	Remarks
Tuition Fee	47,48,290	59,01,480	As per reconciliation of FY 2021-22, Tuition fees is INR 59,01,480 on accrual basis.
Annual Charges	3,59,800	5,14,000	As per reconciliation of FY 2021-22, annual fee is INR 4,36,900 at 85% on accrual basis which has been grossed up to 100%
Total	51,08,090	64,15,480	

Note 2: All the other income as per audited financial statements of FY 2021-22 has been considered with the assumption that the amount received in FY 2021-22 will at least accrue during FY 2022-23.

Note 3: All budgeted expenditure of the school has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school except the following:

Head of Expenditure	FY 2022-23	Amount Disallowed (INR)	Remarks
Salary expense	50,40,000	5,31,068	The school has proposed excessive salary expense along with salary arrears of 7 th CPC. Therefore, salary expense has been restricted to 130% of previous year expense along with 7 th CPC arrears considered separately.

Note 4: The school has submitted 7th CPC salary arrears for the period 01.01.2016 to 31.03.2022 of INR 43,10,277 (as per staff statement provided by the school during fee hike proposal for FY 2022-23, school has 4 permanent staff hence, salary arrears for 4 employees has been considered). However, the school has not applied for fee hike proposal during the last 3 years. Hence, 7th CPC salary arrears for the period 01.04.2020 to 31.03.2022 amounting to INR 32,68,785 has been considered while deriving fund position of the school.

ii. In view of the above examination, it is evident that the school does not has adequate funds for meeting all the operational expenditures for the FY 2022-23. In this regard, the directions issued by the Directorate of Education vide circular no. 1978 dated 16 April 2010 states.



"All schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilizing the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilized for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."

AND WHEREAS, in the light of above evaluation which is based on the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, it was recommended by the team of Chartered Accountants along with certain financial suggestions that were identified (appropriate financial impact has been taken on the fund position of the school) and certain procedural suggestions which were also noted (appropriate instructions against which have been given in this order), that the sufficient funds are not available with the School to carry out its operations for the academic session 2022-23. Accordingly, the fee increase proposal of the school may be accepted.

AND WHEREAS, considering the financial situation and existing deficiencies and keeping in view that salary and other employee's benefits can be paid to the teachers and staff smoothly, the fee hike is allowed to the school with the suggestions for improvement. The school is hereby further directed that the additional income received on account of increase fee should be utilized at first instance only for payment of salary and salary arrears and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order.

AND WHEREAS, it is relevant to mention charging of any arrears on account of fee for several months from the parents is not advisable, not only because of the additional sudden burden fall upon the parents/students but also as per the past experience, the benefit of such collected arrears is not passed to the teachers and staff in most of the cases as was observed by the Justice Anil Dev Singh Committee (JADSC) during the implementation of the 6th CPC. Keeping this in view, and exercising the powers conferred under Rule 43 of DSER, 1973, the Director (Education) has accepted the proposal submitted by the school and allowed an increase in fee by 13% to be effective from 01 October 2022.

AND WHEREAS, recommendation of the team of Chartered Accountants along with relevant materials were put before the Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, and after considering the provisions of section 17 (3), 18(5), 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 read with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the DSER, 1973 has found that funds are not available with the school for meeting financial implication for the academic session 2022-23. Hence, for smooth payment of salaries and other employee's benefit, the fee hike is required to the school.

AND WHEREAS, the school is directed, henceforth to take necessary corrective steps on the financial and other suggestion noted during the above evaluation process and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of this order to the D.D.E (PSB).

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal for fee hike of Fahan International School (School ID- 1104402), between Block B-2 & B-3, Yamuna Vihar, New Delhi-110053 filled by the school in response to the Order No. F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2019/4440-4412 dated 08.06.2022 for the academic session 2022-23, is accepted by the Director (Education) with the above conclusion and suggestions and the school is hereby allowed to increase the fee by 13% to be effective from 1 October, 2022.

Further, the management of said School is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEAR 1973 to comply with the following directions:



Page 10 of 11

- 1. To increase the fee only by the prescribed percentage from the specified date.
- 2. To ensure payment of salary is made in accordance with the provision of Section 10(1) of the DSEA, 1973. Further, the scarcity of funds cannot be the reason for non-payment of salary and other benefits admissible to the teachers/ staffs in accordance with section 10 (1) of the DSEA, 1973. Therefore, the Society running the school must ensure payment to teachers/ staffs accordingly.
- 3. To utilize the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.

Non-compliance of this order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 24(4) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and Delhi School Education Rules, 1973. lander

This is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(Nandini Maharaj) Additional Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

To The Manager/ HoS Fahan International School (School ID- 1104402), Between Block B-2 & B-3, Yamuna Vihar, New Delhi-110053

No. F.DE.15 (1151)/PSB/2022 622-626

Dated: 20 01 23

Copy to:

- 1. P.S. to Principal Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 3. DDE (North East rensure the compliance of the above order by the school management.
- 4. In-charge (I.T Cell) with the request to upload on the website of this Directorate.

5. Guard file.

(Nandini Maharai)

Additional Director of Education

(Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi