GOVERNMENT OF NATIONAL CAPITAL TERRITORY OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF EDUCATION (PRIVATE SCHOOL BRANCH) **OLD SECRETARIAT, DELHI-110054**

No. F.DE.15 (1673)/PSB/2023/10130-10134

0

Dated: 14 12 23

Order

WHEREAS, K.R. Mangalam World School, H-Block, Vikaspuri, New Delhi – 110018 (School Id: 1618208) (hereinafter referred to as "the School"), run by the All India Kataria Educational Society (hereinafter referred to as the "Society"), is a private unaided school recognized by the Directorate of Education, Govt. of NCT of Delhi (hereinafter referred to as "DoE"), under the provisions of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "DSEA, 1973") and the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973 (hereinafter referred to as "DSER, 1973"). The school is statutorily bound to comply with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973 and the RTE Act, 2009, as well as the directions/guidelines issued by the DoE from time to time.

AND WHEREAS, every school is required to file a full statement of fees every year before the ensuing academic session under section 17(3) of the DSEA, 1973 with the Directorate. Such statement is required to indicate estimated income of the school to be derived from fees, estimated current operational expenses towards salaries and allowances payable to employees etc. in terms of rule 177(1) of the DSER, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, as per section 18(5) of the DSEA, 1973 read with sections 17(3), 24 (1) and rule 180 (3) of the above DSEA, 1973, responsibility has been conferred upon to the DoE to examine the audited financial Statements, books of accounts and other records maintained by the school at least once in each financial year. Sections 18(5) and 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 and the rule 180 (3) of DSER, 1973 have been reproduced as under:

Section 18(5): 'the managing committee of every recognised private school shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed'

Section 24(1): 'every recognised school shall be inspected at least once in each financial year in such manner as may be prescribed'.

Rule 180 (3): 'the account and other records maintained by an unaided private school shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorised by the Director in this behalf and also by officers authorised by the Comptroller and Auditor-General of India."

AND WHEREAS, besides the above, the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the judgment dated 27.04.2004 held in Civil Appeal No. 2699 of 2001 titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and others has conclusively decided that under sections 17(3), 18(4) read along with rules 172, 173, 175 and 177, the DoE has the authority to regulate the fee and other charges, with the objective of preventing profiteering and commercialization of education.



Page 1 of 21

AND WHEREAS, it was also directed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, that the DoE in the aforesaid matter titled Modern School Vs. Union of India and Others in paras 27 and 28 in case of private unaided schools situated on the land allotted by DDA at concessional rates that:

"27....

(c) It shall be the duty of the Director of Education to ascertain whether terms of allotment of land by the Government to the schools have been complied with...

28. We are directing the Director of Education to look into the letters of allotment issued by the Government and ascertain whether they (terms and conditions of land allotment) have been complied with by the schools......

.....If in a given case, Director finds non-compliance of above terms, the Director shall take appropriate steps in this regard."

AND WHEREAS, the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its judgement dated 19.01.2016 in writ petition No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All versus Govt. of NCT of Delhi and Others, has reiterated the aforesaid directions of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and has directed the DoE to ensure compliance of terms, if any, in the letter of allotment regarding the increase of the fee by recognized unaided schools to whom land has been allotted by DDA/ land owning agencies.

AND WHEREAS, accordingly, the DoE vide order Nos. F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2023/1964-1972 dated 01.03.2023 and F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2023/2685-2692 dated 27.03.2023 for the academic session 2023-24, directing all the private unaided recognized schools, running on the land allotted by DDA/other land-owning agencies on concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of DoE for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for prior sanction, for increase in fee for the academic session 2023-24.

AND WHEREAS, in pursuance to orders dated 01.03.2023 and 27.03.2023 of the DOE, the school submitted its proposal for fee increase for the academic session **2023-24**. Accordingly, the order dispenses the proposal for fee increase submitted by the school for the academic session **2023-24**.

AND WHEREAS, in order to examine the proposals submitted by the schools for fee increase for justifiability or not, the DoE has evaluated the fee increase proposals of the School carefully in accordance with the provisions of the DSEAR, 1973, and other Orders/ Circulars issued from time to time by the DoE.

AND WHEREAS, in the process of examination of fee increase proposal filed by the aforesaid School for the academic session 2023-24, necessary records and explanations were also called from the school through email. Further, the school was also provided an opportunity of being heard on 07th November 2023 to present its justifications/ clarifications on fee increase proposal including audited financial statements and based on the discussion, school was further asked to submit necessary documents and clarification on various issues noted. During that hearing, the compliance of Order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23 was also discussed and the school submission were taken on record.

AND WHEREAS, on receipt of clarification as well as documents uploaded on the web portal for the fee hike post personal hearing, the fee hike proposal was evaluated by DOE and the key suggestions noted for improvement by the school are hereunder:

Page 2 of 21

A. Financial Suggestions for Improvement

1. Accounting Standard 15 - 'Employee Benefits' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "Accounting for defined benefit plans is complex because actuarial assumptions are required to measure the obligation and the expense and there is a possibility of actuarial gains and losses."

Further, according to para 7.14 of the Accounting Standard 15 "Plan assets comprise:
(a) Assets held by a long-term employee benefit fund; and
(b) Qualifying insurance policies.

On review of audited financial statements for FY 2022-23, it is noted that the school has reported the liability for retirement benefits towards gratuity and leave encashment INR 3,80,62,394 and INR 7,12,056 respectively as on 31.03.2023 and as per audited financial statement for FY 2022-23 investment with LIC is INR 3,80,62,394 only.

Similar observation was also noted in the Directorate's Order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, Order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and Order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23.

Accordingly, the amount invested by the school with LIC amounting to INR 3,80,62,394 as per audited financial statements has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school. Further, the school is directed to deposit the amount determined as per actuarial valuation with LIC so that the provision for gratuity and leave encashment stand equals the investment made in the plan assets.

2. The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30.10.1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "The tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society." Also, clause (vii) of No. F.DE./15/Act/2k/243/KKK/883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states "Capital Expenditure cannot constitute a component of financial fee structure".

Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Income derived by an unaided recognized school by way of fees shall be utilized in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that, savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilized by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognized school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run. Further, the aforesaid savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school.
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation.

d) Co-curricular activities of the students.

e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings.

The Directorate in their order No. F.DE. 15/(139)/PSB/2019/1897-1901 dated 22.02.2019 issued for FY 2017-18, order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, noted that the school has utilized the school funds for repayment of loan and interest thereon towards purchase of bus and car and as per the above orders, the school was directed to recover INR 2,70,61,958 (INR 44,92,726 as per dated 22.02.2019, INR 1,09,69,250 as per dated 25.05.2022 and INR 1,15,99,982 per dated 27.07.2023) from the society. However, the recovery from the society is still pending.

Based on audited financial statement for FY 2022-23, during the FY 2022-23, the school has utilized INR 5,89,185 towards repayment of loan and INR 68,404 towards interest cost without complying with above-mentioned provisions. The details are as follows:

Particulars	FY 2022-23
Repayment of Loan	5,89,185
Interest Cost	68,404
Total	6,57,589

Further, on review of the Society ledger submitted by the school, it has been noted that the school shown both receipts and payments of amount to and from the society in FY 2021-22 and FY 2022-23. Further, the school has shown the adjustment of INR 1,54,61,976 through journal entry on 31.03.2023. In the absence of any details, no impact of the receipts and payment transactions with the society and the adjustment entry have been considered while computing the fund position of the school with the direction to the school to furnish relevant details alongwith purpose of these transactions within 30 days from the date of issue of the order and the same shall be verified at the time of evaluation of fee proposal of the next financial year.

Accordingly, the school is again directed to recover INR 2,77,19,547 (INR 2,70,61,958 as per previous order plus 6,57,589 in FY 2022-23) from the society within 30 days from the date of issue of the order.

3. Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Income derived by an unaided recognized school by way of fees shall be utilized in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that, savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilized by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognized school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run.

Further, the aforesaid savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school.
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation.
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students.
- e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings.

Further, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30.10.1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "The tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society." Also, clause (vii) (c) of Order No. F.DE/15/Act/2K/243/ KKK/883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states "Capital expenditure cannot constitute a component of the financial fee structure."

Accordingly, based on the above-mentioned provisions, the cost relating to land and building has to be met by the society being the property of the society and should be met out of the school funds.

Based on Directorate's order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, it was noted that the school incurred huge expenditures on repair and maintenance of Building. During FY 2020-21 to 2021-22, the school has booked expenditure of INR 5,69,90,708 in the name of Repairs and maintenance of Building and Garden & Ground. Further, on review of the ledger accounts and the supporting documents submitted by the school with respect to repair and maintenance expenses it was noted that the actual nature of these expenses were civil work and construction, etc., and has reported the same as revenue expenditure in its income and expenditure account. Therefore, the school was directed to recover the aforesaid amount from the society. However, the recovery from the society is still pending.

Particulars	FY 2020-21	FY 2021-22
Class room repair	1,49,26,764	1,01,71,364
Door, Windows and toughened glass	45,75,120	35,59,464
Building roof repair	46,65,012	38,99,664
Back side of Building repair	52,99,941	-
Ground & Garden	-	45,70,753
Lift supply and installation	-	15,74,946
Boundary Wall	23,26,016	14,21,664
Total	3,17,92,853	2,51,97,855

Below are the details of the expenditure incurred by the school on repair and maintenance:

The school has incurred capital expenditure in relation to building in contravention of aforesaid provisions. Thus, INR 5,69,90,708 has been added to the fund availability position of the school with again direction to the school to recover the aforesaid amount from the society within 30 days from the date of issue of the order. Also, the school management is hereby

directed to ensure correct reporting of the revenue expenditure and capital expenditure in its financial statements.

4. As per Clause 14 of this Directorate's Order No. F.DE./15 (56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 "Development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, up gradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with and income generated from the investment made out of this fund, will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account."

The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(139)/PSB/2019/1897-1901 dated 22.02.2019 issued for FY 2017-18, order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19 and order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20, noted that the school has merged its fee structure into one single head called "Fees" so that the amount can be utilized by the school freely. However, the school claimed that it has stopped the collection of development fee which is not correct as the total collection of the school has not affected. It appears that the school has merged the development fee, a capital receipt into the other fee of the school, which are of revenue nature receipts. The school has continued this practice till FY 2020-21.

Further, based on Directorate's order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, it was noted that from FY 2021-22 onwards, the school has treated development fees as capital receipts and collected development fee for FY 2020-21 and 2021-22. It is not explained the reasons of treating it as revenue receipts in earlier years and now as capital receipts. Even it is not clear what fee structure was there in earlier years and what new fee structure the school has adopted from FY 2021-22. In view of the above, the school was directed to explain the reasons for such practice of showing capital receipts as revenue receipts. However, the school has not complied with the above direction.

The Hon'ble High Court of Delhi dated 19.01.2016 in WPC No. 4109/2013 in the matter of Justice for All vs. GNCT of Delhi and others indicated that every recognized private unaided school to whom land was allotted by DDA shall not increase the rate of fees without the prior sanction of Directorate of Education. Accordingly, the Directorate vide order No. F.DE.15(40)/PSB/2019/2698-2707 dated 27.03.2019, directed that all the Private Unaided Recognized Schools running on the land allotted by DDA/other Govt. agencies on concessional rates or otherwise, with the condition to seek prior approval of Director of Education for increase in fee, to submit their proposals, if any, for approval from the Director of Education for the academic session 2018-19 and 2019-20. Moreover, as per the directions of the Supreme Court in Modern School vs. Union of India & ORs. (supra), a Circular dated April 16, 2010 has been issued which is as under:

- i. It is reiterated that annual fee-hike is not mandatory.
- School shall not introduce any new head of account or collect any fee thereof other than those permitted. Fee/funds collected from the parents/students shall be utilized strictly in accordance with rules 176 and 177 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.



iii. If any school has collected fee in excess of that determined as per the procedure prescribed here-above, the school shall refund/adjust the same against subsequent instalments of fee payable by students.

Based on financial statements for the FY 2021-22 to FY 2022-23, it is noted that the school collected development fee from the students and fee have been collected without permission of the Directorate. The details are as follows:

Year	Amount
FY 2020-21	1,83,35,620
FY 2021-22	1,82,26,941
FY 2022-23	2,27,13,211
Total	5,92,75,772

Accordingly, the amount of INR 5,92,75,772 has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school with the directions to the school to refund/adjust the development fund collected to the students for the FY 2020-21 to FY 2022-23. Also, impact of development fee expected to be collected in FY 2023-24 has not been considered in the fund position.

 Section 18(4) of DSEA 1973 state "income derived by Unaided Recognized School by way of fees should be utilized only for educational purposes as prescribed under Rules 176 and 177 of the DSER. 1973". Also clause (vii) of Order No. F.DE./15/Act/2k/243/KKK/883-1982 dated 10.02.2005 issued by this Directorate states "Capital Expenditure cannot constitute a component of financial fee structure".

Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Income derived by an unaided recognized school by way of fees shall be utilized in the first instance, for meeting the pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school. Provided that, savings, if any, from the fees collected by such school may be utilized by its management committee for meeting capital or contingent expenditure of the school, or for one or more of the following educational purposes, namely award of scholarships to students, establishment of any other recognized school, or assisting any other school or educational institution, not being a college, under the management of the same society or trust by which the first mentioned school is run. Further, the aforesaid savings shall be arrived at after providing for the following, namely:

- a) Pension, gratuity and other specified retirement and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school.
- b) The needed expansion of the school or any expenditure of a developmental nature;
- c) The expansion of the school building or for the expansion or construction of any building or establishment of hostel or expansion of hostel accommodation.
- d) Co-curricular activities of the students.
- e) Reasonable reserve fund, not being less than ten percent, of such savings.

Additionally, Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in its judgement dated 30.10.1998 in the case of Delhi Abibhavak Mahasangh concluded that "*The tuition fee cannot be fixed to recover capital expenditure to be incurred on the properties of the society.*"

Moreover, the school fee should, in first instance, be utilized for meeting pay, allowances and other benefits admissible to the employees of the school and not for capital expenditure of car, principal amount of loan and interest thereon.

The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(139)/PSB/2019/1897-1901 dated 22.02.2019 issued for FY 2017-18 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23 noted that the school had utilized the school funds for purchase of a car for INR 13,26,308 during FY 2014-15 and INR 11,77,558 during FY 2021-22 without complying with the above-mentioned provisions with the direction to the school to recover aforesaid amounts from the society. However, the recovery from the society is still pending.

Accordingly, INR 25,03,866 has been included in the calculation of available fund of the school with the again direction to the school to recover this amount from the society/management of the school within 30 days from the date of issue of the order.

6. Clause 3 of the public notice dated 04.05.1997 published in the Times of India states "No security/ deposit/ caution money be taken from the students at the time of admission and if at all it is considered necessary it should be taken once and at the nominal rate of INR 500 per student in any case and it should be returned to the students at the time of leaving the school along with the interest at the bank rate."

Further Clause 18 of Order no F.DE/15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009 states "No caution money/security deposit of more than five hundred rupees per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a scheduled bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether or not he/she requests for refund."

The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, directed the school to refund the security deposit of INR 85,35,084 to students immediately.

On review of the audited financial statements, it has been noted that the school still has been collecting security deposit @ INR 15,000 from the user of transport facility and has been refunding only the principal amount of caution money to the students at the time of his/ her leaving from the school which is not in accordance with the above-mentioned provisions.

Therefore, the school is again directed to refund the security deposit to students immediately and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of the order. Further, the school is again directed to ensure the compliance with the clause 18 of dated 11.2.2009 and clause 3 of the Public Noted dated 04.05.1997. Further, the security deposit of INR 1,02,65,484 as on 31.03.2023 has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school.

7. Section 13 (1) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states that "no school or person shall, while admitting a child, collect any capitation fee and subject the child or his or her parents or guardian to any screening procedure".

Section 13 (2) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states that "Any school or person, if in contravention of the provisions of sub-section (1),-

- a. receives capitation fee, shall be punishable with fine which may be extended to ten times the capitation fee charged.
- b. subjects a child to screening procedures shall be punishable with a fine which may extend to twenty-five thousand rupees for the first contravention and fifty thousand rupees for each subsequent contravention.

And section 2(b) of the Right to Education Act, 2009 states "capitation fee" means any kind of donation or contribution or payment other than the fee notified by the school.

Further, the Supreme Court in its Judgement dated 02 May 2016 in the matter of Modern 'Dental College and Research Centre Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh [Medical Council of India]' held that education is a noble profession and emphasized that:

"Every demand of capitation fee by educational institutions is unethical & illegal. It emphasized that commercialization and exploitation are not permissible in the education sector and institutions must run on a 'no-profit-no-loss' basis".

The Hon'ble Supreme Court categorically held that "though education is now treated as an 'occupation' and, thus, has become a fundamental right guaranteed under Article 19(1) (g) of the Constitution, at the same time shackles are put in so far as this particular occupation is concerned, which is termed as noble. Therefore, profiteering and commercialization are not permitted, and no capitation fee can be charged. The admission of students has to be on merit and not at the whims and fancies of the educational institutions,"

Further, the Hon'ble High Court in LPA 196/2004 in the matter of 'Rakesh Goyal Vs. Montfort School and Section 13(1) of RTE Act, 2009' states "no school or person shall, while admitting a child, collect any Capitation fee/Donation from the parents. Any school or person who contravenes this provision and receives a capitation fee, shall be punishable with a fine which may extend to ten times the capitation fee charged".

Further, The Directorate of Education, vide Order No. DE15/ Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, indicated the following types of Fee that a recognised private unaided school can collect from the students/ parents:

- a. **Registration Fee:** Registration fee INR 25 per student prior to admission, shall be charged.
- b. Admission Fee: No admission fee of more than 200/- per student, at the time of the admission shall be charged. The admission fee shall not be charged again from any student who is once given admission as long as he remains on the rolls of the school. Further, Clause 4 of the Public notice dated 04.05.1997 states "admission fee can be charged only at the nominal rate but not exceeding INR 200 in any case. It should not be made a regular practice. Once a student is admitted in the school, he should not be asked to pay admission fee again at middle or secondary or senior secondary stage".

- c. **Caution Money:** No Caution Money/ Security Deposit of more than INR 500 per student shall be charged. The caution money thus collected shall be kept deposited in a Scheduled Bank in the name of the concerned school and shall be returned to the student at the time of his/her leaving the school along with the bank interest thereon irrespective of whether he/she requests for a refund. Thus, it is not an income of the school, but a deposit/ liability which is to be refunded at the time of students leaving the school.
- d. **Tuition Fee:** It is required to be determined so as to cover the standard cost of the establishment including provisions for DA, bonus etc. and all terminal benefits, as also the expenditure of revenue nature concerning curricular activities. No fee shall be charged in excess of the amount so determined.
- e. Annual Charges: Annual charges are expected to cover all revenue expenditure not included in tuition fee and overhead and expenditure on playgrounds, sports equipment, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from curricular activities of the school.
- f. **Earmarked Levies:** Earmarked levies are required to be charged from the user students only. Earmarked levies for the services rendered are to be charged on no profit no loss basis in respect of facilities provided to the user students involving additional expenditure in the provision of the same.
- g. **Development Fee:** It is to be treated as capital receipts and utilized towards purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment.

The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, directed the school not to charge capitation fee with immediate effect and recover the amount from the manager/ principal of the school along with the penalty of 10 times and refund/ adjust the same against the subsequent installment of fee. However, the school has not complied with the above direction.

Therefore, the school is again directed to not charge capitation fee as mentioned above with immediate effect and recover this amount from the manager/ principal of the school and refund/ adjust the same against the subsequent installment of fee by the students. The school is also directed to submit compliance status with this direction within 30 days from the date of issue of the order. Non- compliance with this direction would be reviewed seriously and a necessary action against the school will be initiated u/s 24(4) of the DSEA, 1973 by the department.

8. As per clause 103 on Related Party Disclosure, contained in Guidance Note 21 on 'Accounting by Schools', issued by the ICAI, there is a requirement that keeping in the view the involvement of public funds, schools are required to disclose the transactions made in respect of related parties.

Section 18(4) of DSEA, 1973 state "income derived by Unaided Recognized School by way of fees should be utilized only for educational purposes as prescribed under Rules 176 and 177 of the DSER. 1973".

The Directorate in its Order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19 and Order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20, directed the school to disclose related party transactions in its audited financial statements.

Based on Directorate Order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, it was noted that the school was paid INR 69,13,839 as salary and consulting fee to related persons during FY 2021-22 which is not considered as utilised for educational purposes as per Section 18(4) of DSEA, 1973 and the school was directed to recover this amount from society. However, the recovery from the society is still pending.

Name	Relation	Nature of payment	Amount	
Sangaata Gunta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	10,50,677	
Sangeeta Gupta	Body Member	Salary	10,50,077	
Damini Gupta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	9,33,936	
Damini Oupta	Body Member	Salary		
Prashant Gupta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	9,33,936	
Flasham Oupla	Body Member	Salary	2,35,250	
Jai Dev Gupta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	10,50,677	
	Body Member	Salary	10,30,077	
Yash Dev	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	10,50,677	
Gupta	Body Member	Salary	10,50,077	
Naha Cauta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Salary	9,33,936	
Neha Gupta	Body Member	Salary	2,35,250	
Rahul Gupta	Member/ Relative of Governing	Consultancy Fee	4,80,000	
	Body Member	Consultancy rec		
Dahit Curata	Member/ Relative of Governing	Consultancy Fee	4,80,000	
Rohit Gupta	Body Member	Consultancy rec	7,00,000	
Total			69,13,839	

The school has disclosed following related party transactions in its financial statements from FY 2021-22.

On review of financial statements for the FY 2022-23, it is noted that the school has not disclose related party transactions in its audited financial statements. School failed to furnish the details of payment to aforesaid related parties in FY 2022-23 and thus, school is directed to furnish the details of payments made to aforesaid related party in FY 2022-23.

Accordingly, the school is directed to disclose related party transactions in its audited financial statements and the direction to school to recover the paid amount of INR 69,13,839 as salary and consulting fee to related person during FY 2021-22 which is not considered as utilised for educational purposes as per Section 18(4) of DSEA, 1973 from the society and accordingly, INR 69,13,839 has been added to the funds available with the school. with the direction to school to recover this amount from the society.

9. As per clause 10 of Form-II of Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education Act 2009,

the schools are required to maintain liquidity equivalent to 3 months' salary and this amount should be invested in the joint name of Dy. Director (Education) and manager of the school. Generally, it is done in the form of FDR in any scheduled bank.

The school has proposed a salary of INR 17,06,35,000 in its budgeted expenditure for FY 2023-24 and sought permission to create 3 months' salary reserve from this year. In order to ensure the compliance of aforesaid provision, it would have been appropriate had the school invested or start investing in the Fixed deposits in the joint names of Deputy Director of Education and the Manager of the school earlier. Claiming full amount for 3 months' salary reserve in a single year may cause adverse financial effect or extra burden on the parents/students and thus, it would be rational to spread the financial impact of aforesaid compliance over the period of 14 years on the assumption that normally a student studies 14 years in the school. Accordingly, the school is directed to create and deposit in fixed deposit of INR 30,47,054 (i.e., 1/14 of INR 17,06,35,000 X 3/12) in the joint names of Deputy Director of Education (Distt.) and the Manager, the school within 30 days from the date of issue of the order. The impact of the same has been considered while calculating the fund availability of the school.

B. Other Suggestions for Improvement

1. Clause 19 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "The tuition fee shall be so determined as to cover the standard cost of establishment including provisions for DA, bonus, etc., and all terminal, benefits as also the expenditure of revenue nature concerning the curricular activities."

Further clause 21 of the aforesaid order states "No annual charges shall be levied unless they are determined by the Managing Committee to cover all revenue expenditure, not included in the tuition fee and 'overheads' and expenses on play-grounds, sports equipment, cultural and other co-curricular activities as distinct from the curricular activities of the school."

Rule 176 - 'Collections for specific purposes to be spent for that purpose' of the DSER, 1973 states "Income derived from collections for specific purposes shall be spent only for such purpose."

Further, as per Clause 22 of Order No. F.DE./15(56)/ Act/2009/778 dated 11 Feb 2009 states "Earmarked levies will be calculated and collected on 'no-profit no loss' basis and spent only for the purpose for which they are being charged."

Sub-rule 3 of Rule 177 of DSER, 1973 states "Funds collected for specific purposes, like sports, co-curricular activities, subscriptions for excursions or subscriptions for magazines, and annual charges, by whatever name called, shall be spent solely for the exclusive benefit of the students of the concerned school and shall not be included in the savings referred to in sub-rule (2)." Further, Sub-rule 4 of the said rule states "The collections referred to in sub-rule (3) shall be administered in the same manner as the monies standing to the credit of the Pupils Fund as administered."

Also, earmarked levies collected from students are a form of restricted funds, which, according to Guidance Note on Accounting by Schools issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants

of India, are required to be credited to a separate fund account when the amount is received and reflected separately in the Balance Sheet.

Further, the aforementioned Guidance Note lays down the concept of fund-based accounting for restricted funds, whereby upon incurrence of expenditure, the same is charged to the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column) and a corresponding amount is transferred from the concerned restricted fund account to the credit of the Income and Expenditure Account ('Restricted Funds' column).

On review of audited financial statements for FY 2020-21 to FY 2022-23, it has been noted that the school charges earmarked levies in the form of Transport Fee and the school is not maintaining separate fund accounts for these earmarked levies but has been generating surplus, which has been utilised for meeting other expenses of the school or has been incurring losses (deficit), which has been met from other fees/income. Based on the information provided by the school the surplus/ deficit generated by the school is provided below:

Particulars	Transport Fees (INR)
For the year 2020-21	
Fee Collected during the year (A)	115,080
Expenses during the year (B)	5,280,087
Difference for the year (A-B)	-5,165,007
For the year 2021-22	
Fee Collected during the year (A)	-
Expenses during the year (B)	8,842,292
Difference for the year (A-B)	-8,842,292
For the year 2022-23	
Fee Collected during the year (A)	33,067,832
Expenses during the year (B)	31,888,930
Difference for the year (A-B)	1,178,902

Similar observation was also noted in Directorate's order No. F.DE. 15/(139)/PSB/2019/1897-1901 dated 22.02.2019 issued for FY 2017-18, order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23.

Accordingly, the school is again directed to maintain separate fund account depicting clearly the amount collected, amount utilised and balance amount for each earmarked levy collected from students. Further, the school should evaluate costs incurred against each earmarked levy and propose the revised fee structure for earmarked levies during subsequent proposal for enhancement of fee ensuring that the proposed levies are calculated on no-profit no-loss basis and not to include fee collected from all students as earmarked levies.

 The Directorate's order No. F.DE. 15/(139)/PSB/2019/1897-1901 dated 22.02.2019 issued for FY 2017-18, order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY

Page 13 of 21

2022-23, noted that the school is not maintaining fixed asset register (FAR). The school should prepare a FAR, which should include details such as asset description, purchase date, supplier name, invoice number, manufacturer's serial number, location, purchase cost, other costs incurred, depreciation, asset identification number, etc., to facilitate identification of asset and documenting complete details of assets at one place.

However, the school has not complied with the above directions. Hence, the school is again directed to comply with the directions given by updating the FAR with relevant details mentioned above in accordance with the process for periodic physical verification of assets and document the results of physical verification of assets. The same shall be verified at the time of evaluation of fee hike proposal for subsequent year.

3. The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23 noted that the school has been incurring on an average INR 1.5 Crores for housekeeping charges which appears to be on higher side considering the size of the school and nature of expenses and the school was directed to submit detailed breakup of this expenditure, relevant invoices and ledger accounts.

However, the school has not complied with the above direction. Therefore, the school is again directed to ensure reasonability of this expenditure and submit the compliance status within 30 days from the date of issue of the order by attaching the detailed breakup of this expenditure, relevant invoices and ledger accounts.

4. The Directorate in its order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19, order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23, noted that the school was not complying with the DOE Order No. F.DE.15/Act-I/08155/2013/5506-5518 dated 04.06.2012 and condition mentioned at S. No. 18 in the land allotment letter which provides for 25% reservation to children belonging to EWS category.

As per school's submission, the details of EWS students and total number of students are as follows:

Particulars	FY 2022-23
Total Students	2618
EWS Students	381
% of EWS students	15%

As per table above, it can be noted that the school has not been complying with the directions of the Directorate and conditions of land allotment letter. Therefore, the concerned DDE (District) is requested to look into this matter and ensure compliance with the above directions.

5. As per Section 18(5) of the DSEA, 1973, the management committee of every recognised private school shall file every year with the Director such duly audited financial and other returns as may be prescribed, and every such return shall be audited by such authority as may be prescribed.

Further, Rule 180 of DSER, 1973 states "(1) every unaided recognised private schools shall submit the returns and documents in accordance with Appendix-1, (2) Every return or documents referred to in sub-rule (1), shall be submitted to the Director by the 31 July of each year.(3) The account and other records maintained by an unaided private school shall be subject to examination by the auditors and inspecting officers authorised by the Director in this behalf and also by any officers authorised by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India".

And Section 24 (2) of DSA. 1973 states "The Director may arrange special inspection of any school on such aspects of its working as may, from time to time, be considered necessary by him".

Whereas Appendix-II to Rule 180 specify that "final accounts i.e. receipts, and payment account, income and expenditure and balance sheet of the preceding year should be duly audited by Chartered Accountant.

And it has been noticed that Financial Documents/ Certificates Attested by third persons misrepresenting themselves as CA Members are misleading the Authorities and Stakeholders. ICAI is also receiving several complaints of signatures of CAs being forged by non-CAs.

To curb such malpractices, the Professional Development Committee of ICAI has come out with an innovative concept of UDIN i.e. Unique Document Identification Number which is being implemented in a phased manner. It will secure the certificates attested/certified by practicing CAs. This will also enable the Regulators/Banks/Third parties to check the authenticity of the documents.

Accordingly, the Council in the 379th meeting of ICAI held on 17.12.18 and 18.12.2018, made it mandatory for all practicing members to obtain 18 digits UDIN before issuing any audits reports/ certification, etc. in the following manner:

- All Certification done by Practising CAs w.e.f. 01.02.2019.
- All GST & Tax Audit Reports w.e.f. 01.04.2019.
- All other attest functions w.e.f. 01.07.2019.

Based on the audited financial statements for FY 2020-21 and FY 2021-22 submitted by the School, it has been noted that the audit opinion issued on the financial statements of the school for FY 2020-21 to FY 2022-23 also covered the true and fair view on Balance sheet, Income and Expenditure account and Receipt & Payment account but doesn't attached with audited financial statements. Also, noted that for the FY 2022-23 the school has not submit auditor's report and receipt & payment.

After detailed examination of all the material on record and considering the clarification submitted by the school, it was finally evaluated/ concluded that:

The total funds available for the FY 2023-24 amounting to INR 33,92,07,311 out of which cash outflow in the FY 2023-24 is estimated to be INR 34,75,32,500. This results in deficit of INR 83,25,189 for FY 2023-24 after all payments. The details are as follows:

Page 15 of 21

Particulars	Amount (in INR)
Cash and Bank balances as on 31.03.23 as per Audited Financial Statements	30,48,469
Investments as on 31.03.23 as per Audited Financial Statements	3,87,40,469
Liquid Funds as on 31.03.2023	4,17,88,938
Add: Recovery from the society on account of purchase of car (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 5)	25,03,866
Add: Recovery from the society on account of purchase of buses and car (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 2)	2,77,19,547
Add: Recovery from the society on account of expenditure of capital nature incurred by the school (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 3)	5,69,90,708
Add: Recovery from the society on payment made to members of the society (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 8)	69,13,839
Add: Fees for FY 2022-23 as per Financial Statements (Refer Note 1 below and Financial Suggestion for improvement no 4)	28,19,45,907
Add: Other Income for FY 2022-23 as per Financial Statements (Refer Note 1 below)	1,87,03,922
Add: Additional Fees due to increase in fee @5% from 01.04.2023 (Refer	1,39,69,363
Note 1 below) Total Available Funds for FY 2023-24	45,05,36,090
Less: LIC against Gratuity and leave encashment (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 1)	3,80,62,394
Less: FDR in the joint name of DoE and Manager as on 31.03.2023 (Refer Note 2 below)	6,78,075
Less: Security deposit Refundable to users of Transport facility (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 6)	1,02,65,484
Less: Development fund refundable/adjustable (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 4)	5,92,75,772
Less: Salary reserve (Refer Financial Suggestion for improvement no 9)	30,47,054
Less: Depreciation Reserve Fund (Refer Note 4 below)	-
Net Available Funds for FY 2023-24 (A)	33,92,07,311
Less: Budgeted expenses for the session 2023-24 (Refer Note 5 and 6 below)	34,75,32,500
Total Estimated Expenditure for FY 2023-24 (B)	34,75,32,500
Net Deficit	83,25,189

54

ξ×. ;

Note 1: All the fee and other income as per financial statements for the FY 2022-23 has been considered with the assumption that the amount received in FY 2022-23 will at least accrue during FY 2023-24.

Note 2: The detail of fixed deposit held by the school as per the audited financial statements for the FY 2022-23 is provided below:

Particulars	Amount (in INR)	Remarks
FDR in the joint name of Manager and Dy Director Education	6,78,075	Deducted while calculating available funds of the school.
Total	6,78,075	

Note 3: The school was allowed to increase fee 5% vide Order No. F.DE. 15/(1501)/PSB/2023/6765-6769 dated 27.07.2023 issued for FY 2022-23 from 1st April, 2023. Accordingly, additional income on account of fee increase will also accrue to the school in FY 2023-24 and thus, following amount has been considered as funds available with the school:

and all said	Fee heads	Actual receipt in FY 2022-23	Impact of increased fee @5%
Tuition fees		23,15,05,665	24,30,80,948
Annual Char		4,78,81,595	5,02,75,675
Total		27,93,87,260	29,33,56,623
Impact of fe	e increase		1,39,69,363

Note 4: As per the Duggal Committee report, there are four categories of fees that can be charged by a private unaided school. The first category of fee comprised of "*Registration fee and all one Time Charges*' levied at the time of admissions such as admission charges and caution money. The second category of fee comprises' *Tuition Fee*' which is to be fixed to cover the standard cost of the establishment and to cover the expenditure of revenue nature for the improvement of curricular facilities like library, laboratories, science, and computer fee up to class X and examination fee. The third category of the fee should consist of '*Annual Charges*' to cover all expenditure not included in the second category and the fourth category consist of all '*Earmarked Levies*' for the services rendered by the school and be recovered only from the 'User' students. These charges are transport fee, swimming pool charges, Horse riding, tennis, midday meals etc. This recommendation has been considered by the Directorate while issuing order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and order No. F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009.

The purpose of each head of the fee has already been defined and it is nowhere defined the usage of development fee or any other head of fee for investments against depreciation reserve fund. Further, Clause 7 of order No. DE.15/Act/Duggal.com/203/99/23033-23980 dated 15.12.1999 and clause 14 of no F.DE./15(56)/Act/2009/778 dated 11.02.2009, "development fee, not exceeding 15% of the total annual tuition fee may be charged for supplementing the resources for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixture and equipment. Development fee, if required to be charged, shall be treated as capital receipt and shall be collected only if the school is maintaining a Depreciation Reserve Fund, equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue accounts and the collection under this head along with and income generated from the investment made out of this fund will be kept in a separately maintained Development Fund Account". Thus, the above direction provides for:

- Not to charge development fee for more than 15% of tuition fee.
- Development fee will be used for purchase, upgradation and replacement of furniture, fixtures, and equipment.
- Development fees will be treated as capital receipts.
- Depreciation reserve fund is to be maintained.

Thus, the creation of the depreciation reserve fund is a pre-condition for charging of development fee, as per above provisions and the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Coult in the case of Modern School Vs Union of India & Ors.: 2004(5) SCC 583. Even the Clause 7 of the above direction does not require to maintain any investments against depreciation reserve fund.

Page 17 of 21

Also, as per para 99 of Guidance Note-21 'Accounting by School' issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India states "where the fund is meant for meeting capital expenditure, upon incurrence of the expenditure, the relevant asset account is debited which is depreciated as per the recommendations contained in this Guidance Note. Thereafter, the concerned restricted fund account is treated as deferred income, to the extent of the cost of the asset, and is transferred to the credit of the income and expenditure account in proportion to the depreciation charged every year."

Accordingly, the depreciation reserve (that is to be created equivalent to the depreciation charged in the revenue account) is mere of an accounting head for the appropriate accounting treatment of depreciation in the books of account of the school in accordance with Guidance Note -21 issued by the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India. Thus, there is no financial impact of depreciation reserve on the fund position of the school. Accordingly, the depreciation reserve fund as reported by the school in its audited financial statements for the FY 2022-23 has not been considered while deriving the fund position of the school.

Note 5: All budgeted expenditure proposed by the school amounting to INR 42,70,76,500 has been considered while deriving the fund position of the school except the following:

Head of Expenditure	2023-24 (in INR)	Amount disallowed (in INR)	Remarks
Staff retirement Benefits reserve	60,00,000	60,00,000	Refer financial suggestion for improvement no.1
Salary Provision	1,42,00,000	1,42,00,000	Consideredseparately(referFinancialSuggestionforimprovement no 9)
Transport Expenses	3,23,44,000	3,23,44,000	Neither Income nor expense has been considered on the assumption that earmarked levies are collected on no profit no loss basis
Capital Expenditure	2,70,00,000	2,70,00,000	The school has budgeted INR 3,95,53,043 for FY 2023-24. As per Hon'ble SC in the matter of Modern School vs Union of India & Ors. (2004) capital expenditure cannot form part of fee structure. And also, as per Financial Suggestion for improvement no 4, development fee has not been made part of the school fee and thus, budgeted capital expenditure cannot be considered.
Total	7,95,44,000	7,95,44,000	7

Note 6: In accordance with Section 10(1) of Delhi School Education Act 1973, scales of pay and allowance, medical facilities, pension gratuity, provident fund, and other prescribed benefits of the employees of a recognized private school shall not be less than those of the employees of the corresponding status in schools run by the appropriate authority.

Page 18 of 21

Further, Directorate of Education has adopted the Central Civil Serviced (Revised Pay) Rules, 2016 vide Circular No 30-3(17)/(12)/VII pay Comm./2016/11006-11016 dated 19.08.2016 and No. 30-3 (17)/(12)/VII pay Comm./Coord./2016/12659-12689 dated 14.10.2016 for employees of Government Schools.

5 13

Further, in exercise of the powers conferred under clause (xviii) of Rule 50 of the Delhi School Education Rules, 1973, vide Competent Authority order No DE.15 (318)/PDB/2016/18117, dated 25.08.2017, the managing committees of all Private unaided Recognized Schools have already been directed to implement central Civil Services (Revised Pay) Rule, 2016 in respect of the regular employees of the corresponding status with effect from 01.01.2016 (for the purpose of pay fixation and arrears). Further, guidelines/detailed instructions for implementation of 7th CPC recommendations in Private Un-aided Recognized Schools of Delhi has been issued vide DOE order dated 17.10.2017.

As per school's reply during hearing, it was held that the school implemented 7th CPC recommendations w.e.f. 01.01.2022. Further, salary arrears amounting to INR 4,45,80,262 has already been allowed in the Directorate's Order No. F.DE. 15/(591)/PSB/2022/3499-3503 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2018-19 and Order No. F.DE. 15/(592)/PSB/2022/3494-3498 dated 25.05.2022 issued for FY 2019-20 and also, salary arrears for the period 01.04.2019 to 31.03.2023 proposed in the budget for FY 2022-23 amounting to INR 7,39,15,132 was considered while evaluating the funds availability position of the school.

 In view of the above examination, it is evident that the school does not have adequate funds for meeting all the operational expenditure for the academic session 2023-24. In this regard, Directorate of Education has already issued directions to the schools vide order dated 16.04.2010 that,

"All Schools must, first of all, explore and exhaust the possibility of utilising the existing funds/ reserves to meet any shortfall in payment of salary and allowances, as a consequence of increase in the salary and allowance of the employees. A part of the reserve fund which has not been utilised for years together may also be used to meet the shortfall before proposing a fee increase."

AND WHEREAS, in the light of the provisions of DSEA, 1973, DSER, 1973, guidelines, orders and circulars issued from time to time by this Directorate, the proposal of the school for the session 2023-24 have been evaluated and certain financial suggestions have been identified (appropriate financial impact has been taken on the fund position of the school) and certain procedural suggestions which were also noted (appropriate instruction against which have been given in the order) that the sufficient funds are not available with the school to carry out its operations for the academic session 2023-24.

AND WHEREAS, while evaluating the fee hike proposal, department considers that how much liquid funds would require the school for a particular session considering the budgeted expenditures for smooth operation without compromising with the quality of education. Thus, while deriving the fund position of the school all legitimate expenditures revenue as well as capital in accordance with the provisions DESAR, 1973 and pronouncement of Courts judgment have been considered. Therefore, balance of the other current assets other and current liabilities has not been considered.

Page 19 of 21

Because it is clear that the current assets, loans and advances and current liabilities are cyclic in nature and the same have already been considered in the form of budgeted income and expenditure of the school in the earlier years. Thus, current assets, loans and advances and current liabilities will always reflect in the financial statements at the end of the financial year.

AND WHEREAS, it is noticed that the school has utilized INR 9,41,27,960 in contravention of the provisions of DSEAR, 1973 and other orders issued by the departments from time to time. Therefore, the school is directed to recover the aforesaid amount from the society. The receipts along with copy of bank statements showing receipt of the above-mentioned amount should be submitted with DoE, in compliance of the same within 30 days from the date of issue of the order. Non-compliance with this direction shall be viewed seriously as per the provision of the DSEA, 1973 and the DSER, 1973 without providing any further opportunity of being heard.

AND WHEREAS, the fee proposal of the school along with relevant materials were put before the Director of Education for consideration and who after considering all the material on the record, and after considering the provisions of section 17(3), 18(5), 24(1) of the DSEA, 1973 read with Rules 172, 173, 175 and 177 of the DSER, 1973 has found that sufficient funds are not available with the school for meeting financial implication for the academic session 2023-24. Keeping this in view, and exercising the powers conferred under Rule 43 of DSER, 1973, the Director (Education) has accepted the proposal submitted by the school and allowed an increase in fee by 3% to be effective from 01.10.2023.

AND WHEREAS, considering the financial situation and existing deficiencies and keeping in view that salary and other employee's benefits can be paid to the teachers and staff smoothly, the fee hike is allowed to the school with the suggestions for improvement. Further, school is hereby directed that the additional income received on account of increase fee should be utilized at first instance only for payment of salary and salary arrears and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of .

AND WHEREAS, the act of the school of charging unwarranted free or any other amount/fee under head other than the prescribed head of fee and accumulation of surplus fund thereof tantamount to profiteering and commercialization of education as well as charging of capitation fee in other form and thus, school should ensure to charge fee only under the prescribed heads and utilized the fees collected for the defined purposes in accordance with the provisions of the DSEA, 1973 and the DSER, 1973.

AND WHEREAS, the school is directed, henceforth to take necessary corrective steps on the financial and other suggestion noted during the above evaluation process and submit the compliance report within 30 days from the date of issue of the order to the D.D.E (PSB).

Accordingly, it is hereby conveyed that the proposal for fee hike of K.R. Mangalam World School, H-Block, Vikaspuri, New Delhi – 110018 (School Id: 1618208) filed by the school in response to Nos. F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2023/1964-1972 dated 01.03.2023 and F.DE.-15(40)/PSB/2023/2685-2692 dated 27.03.2023 for the academic session 2023-24, is accepted by the Director (Education) with the above conclusion and suggestions and the school is allowed to increase the fee by 3% for session 2023-24 to be effective from 01.10.2023.

Page 20 of 21

Further, the management of said School is hereby directed under section 24(3) of DSEA, 1973 and the provisions of DSER, 1973, to comply with the following directions:

1. To increase the fee only by the prescribed percentage from the specified date i.e., 01.10.2023.

- 2. To ensure payment of salary is made in accordance with the provision of Section 10(1) of the DSEA, 1973. Further, the scarcity of funds cannot be the reason for non-payment of salary and other benefits admissible to the teachers/ staffs in accordance with section 10 (1) of the DSEA, 1973. Therefore, the Society running the school must ensure payment to teachers/ staffs accordingly.
- 3. To utilize the fee collected from students in accordance with the provisions of Rule 177 of the DSER, 1973 and orders and directions issued by this Directorate from time to time.

Non-compliance of the order or any direction herein shall be viewed seriously and will be dealt with in accordance with the provisions of section 24(4) of Delhi School Education Act, 1973 and Delhi School Education Rules, 1973.

This is issued with the prior approval of the Competent Authority.

(JALPARKASH)

Dy. Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi

То

The Manager/ HoS K.R. Mangalam World School, H-Block, Vikaspuri, New Delhi – 110018 (School Id: 1618208)

No. F.DE.15 (1673)/PSB/2023 / 10130-10134

Dated: 14/12/23

Copy to:

- 1. P.S. to Principal Secretary (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 2. P.S. to Director (Education), Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi.
- 3. DDE (West B) ensure the compliance of the above order by the school management.
- 4. In-charge (I.T Cell) with the request to upload on the website of this Directorate.
- 5. Guard file.

(JAI PARKASH)

Dy. Director of Education (Private School Branch) Directorate of Education, GNCT of Delhi